

IKT-bransjens forhold til blokkering og filtrering av innhold på nett

Torgeir Waterhouse
Direktør Internet & New Media
tw@ikt-norge.no
@tawaterhouse
<http://www.linkedin.com/in/tawaterhouse>

A photograph of a band of robots performing in a music studio. The robots are dressed in various outfits, including a yellow and black striped shirt, a white shirt, and a black and white outfit. They are playing instruments like a guitar, a keyboard, and a drum set. The studio is filled with musical equipment, including speakers, a mixing console, and a drum set. The background features posters on the wall, including one for 'ROBO DANCE' and another for 'SHINE'. The overall scene is a humorous take on a rock band.

Det er noe på internett vi ikke liker 2016

Torgeir Waterhouse
Direktør Internet & New Media
tw@ikt-norge.no
@tawaterhouse
<http://www.linkedin.com/in/tawaterhouse>

Det er noe på internett vi ikke liker

Registrarseminar 17. mars 2015

Torgeir Waterhouse

Direktør internett og nye medier

tw@ikt-norge.no

@tawaterhouse

<http://www.linkedin.com/in/tawaterhouse>

internett, land, samfunn, kommune, skole, bedrift, hjemme, device, etc

hva er ISP?

hva er blokkering?

hva er hindring og tildelt ansvar?

hva er domener og registrar?

hva er ip-adresser?

IPv4 vs IPv6?

hva er innhold?

hva er trussel mot hva?

hva er lovbrudd i følge hvem?

hva er tilgang til hva?

hva er internett?

hva er landegrensene?

hva er chilling effect or mind blocking?

eller: evt hvilke endringer må vi tåle?

IKT NORGE IT-næringens interesseorganisasjon ikt-norge.no


Det er noe på internett vi ikke liker
Registrarseminar 17. mars 2015

Torgeir Waterhouse
Direktør internett og nye medier
tw@ikt-norge.no
@tawaterhouse
<http://www.linkedin.com/in/tawaterhouse>









1) prinsipper

2) beslutning

3) teknikk

4) konsekvens

hva da **proporsjonalitet?**

“

DNS blocking/filtering **does not solve the problem**, as blocking access to an website does not mean that the content simply disappears from the online space; on the contrary, it is only not accessible at a certain location, but it can be easily moved to a different one. The **measure can, therefore, be avoided at all times.**

”

“

it has implications for privacy and security;

”

“

it is incompatible with DNSSEC and undistinguishable from DNS attacks;

”

“

it encourages Internet fragmentation/balkanization, affecting the universal resolvability of the Internet. Instead of having one global Internet, we move towards having a fragmented, country-by-country Internet;

”

“

it may prevent people from accessing legal content, thus **affecting people's right to information**. When an website is blocked because it hosts illegal content, access to the legal content hosted on the respective website is also blocked;


”





hva da **symbol tiltak?**

(annet...?)




The free internet under siege?

to lift a great quote from
Professor Ian Hargreaves;

the short answer is...

yes!



fordi det er enkelt?

særordninger?

åndsverk, pengespill, overgrep, mer?

4 letter course?

a few examples
in alphabetical order...

ACTA

Anti-Counterfeiting Trade Agreement

CETA

Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement

DMCA

Digital Millennium Copyright Act

PIPA

Protect IP Act

SOPA

Stop Online Piracy Act

TPPA

did they move to a 3 letter system to confuse us?

Trans Pacific Partnership Agreement

TRUMP?

“

Donald Trump wants to ban the internet, plans to ask Bill Gates to ‘close it up’

”

“

We have to talk to them about, maybe in certain areas, closing that internet up in some ways. Somebody will say, 'Oh freedom of speech, freedom of speech.' These are foolish people.

”

& **MORE...**

as in more, a lot more, is gonna come...



Photo credit: Eve Fouché / <http://www.flickr.com/efouche>
<http://www.flickr.com/photos/efouche/359658188/sizes/z/>

“


The Armed Forces urge Egypt's loyal men to confront the traitors and the criminals and to protect our families, our honor and our precious Egypt

”

“

Vodafone said at the time of the uprising that it had **no choice** but to shut the service down **under the rules** which **allow** it or any other mobile operator to provide a service in Egypt. **Similar rules exist in many other countries.**

”



British Foreign Secretary William Hague yesterday issued a statement calling **the “abuse” of Internet and mobile-phone networks “unacceptable and disturbing.”**

meanwhile in the UK his boss has got an

idea

so he, Prime Minister David Cameron, tells parliament that

“

the government is looking at banning people from using social networking sites such as Twitter and Facebook **if they are thought to be plotting** criminal activity

”

and then they back-
tracked... **for now?**

“if they are thought to be”

debatter og forslag om blokkering i Norge?



“

The proposals urge Internet companies to **ban unwelcome activity** through their terms of service, but advise that these “should not be very detailed”

”

**CLEAN IT PROJECT -- DETAILED RECOMMENDATIONS DOCUMENT
FOR BEST PRACTICES AND PERMANENT DIALOGUE**

CONFIDENTIAL / NOT FOR PUBLICATION / LIMITED DISTRIBUTION

This document is not for publication. The recipient may share this document only with others within their organization on a "need-to-know" basis.

NOTE: This document contains detailed recommendations on how to implement the best practices identified in the Clean IT project. It will be developed further in the months ahead. After the end of the Clean IT project it will only be shared with organizations that have committed to implementing the best practices. It will be developed further with these organizations participating in the Clean IT permanent public-private dialogue platform.


Items in this document are not obligatory to organizations to implement, but have met a high degree of consensus, except for the sections 'to be discussed'. Items in the 'to be discussed' sections are either new, need reformulating or are contested. The items formulation includes three degrees to of whether organizations committing to the Clean IT 'draft document' are expected to implement the detailed recommendations in this document: what they 'must', what they 'should' if no specific, pressing situation or interest prevents them to, and what they 'could implement if they want'.

This document will be discussed and developed further in Clean IT project meetings, in Working Groups and the future permanent platform for public-private dialogue.

“


the leaked document proposes the use of terms of service to **remove content “which is fully legal”**... although this is up to the “ethical or business” priorities of the company in question what they remove.

”



and then they back-
tracked... **for now?**

it's all about ISPs & hosts?



“

Det å **støtte eller legitimere** piratvirksomhet (enten direkte, ved å støtte nettsteder som The Pirate Bay, eller **indirekte**, for eksempel ved å komme med **uttalelser som kan tolkes** som legitimering av piratvirksomhet) innebærer **et alvorlig angrep på opphavsretten**.

the name has been hidden to protect the guilty...

”

“

...norske ISPer er fullstendig **uansvarlige**,
og er en **risiko for samfunnet** rundt dem...

the name has been hidden to protect the guilty..

”



Ber Telenor ta piratansvar

Arbeiderpartiets mediepolitiske talsmann Arild Grande om at han har forslagene fra forrige regjering er

© + § = blocking only?

© + § = surveillance

© + § = access to info





ØKOKRIM

tid til kjennelse?



<http://www.domstol.no/no/Enkeltomstol/Sorgforing-lagmannsrett/Nar-jeg-skal-til-retten/JurymedlemMeddommer/>

jenter x

escortdate.com/no/no/

Meny

Massasje

Eskorte

Live

Medl

escortdate.com

Eskorte jenter (57)


Norge

Eskorte Gutter (5)

Eskorte Par (0)

Eskorte TS/TV (0)

annonser



kjennelse

kjennelse hva nå?

ISP ansvarlig?

.no = Norid?

.com = GoDaddy?

takedown at source!

Rapport fra ekspertgruppe, desember 2014

Grenselause pengespel - krev ny teknologi ny regulering?



Hamarutvalet har sett på ulike forslag til løysingar for blokkering:

Blokkering av IP-adresse innebær at **alle tenester på same server** kan bli blokkerte, uavhengig av om dei har ein relasjon til tenesta som skal blokkerast, utover å ha same leverandør. IP-blokkering vil derfor i dei fleste tilfelle **være lite målretta.**

Hamarutvalet har sett på ulike forslag til løysingar for blokkering:

Ved blokkerting av DNS er det berre **tilgangen til det aktuelle** dokumentet som blir blokkert, og vil derfor **være målretta**.

Hamarutvalet har sett på ulike forslag til løysingar for blokkering:

DPI-basert blokkering **blokkerer enkeltpunkt** ved at trafikken som går mellom to punkt blir analysert. Dette er ei teknisk komplisert løysing, som fort kan gi **høge kostnader og kan ha store utfordringar for personvern- og ytringsfridom.**

når er blokkering bare politikk for å tilfredstille?

når er blokkering bare særskatt og vern av etablerte modeller?

når er blokkering nødvendig fordi take down ikke er mulig?

også har vi vilkår, tydeligvis vanskelig for mange...?

INTERNET
SWITCH
MUST BE ON
FOR NORMAL
OPERATIONS

05875-42074-125W

Torgeir Waterhouse
Direktør Internet & New Media
tw@ikt-norge.no
@tawaterhouse
<http://www.linkedin.com/in/tawaterhouse>